## Lower Willamette Group

Co-Chairperson: Trey Harbert, Port of Portland Co-Chairperson: Bob Wyatt, NW Natural Treasurer: Larry Patterson, ATOFINA

September 27, 2002

RECEIVED

OOT 0 3 2002

Environmental Cleanup Office

Wallace A. Reid Project Manager US EPA 1200 Sixth Avenue, ECL-115 Seattle, WA 98101

Chip Humphrey
Project Manager
US EPA
811 SW Sixth Avenue, 3rd Floor
Portland, OR 97204

Tara Karamas US EPA Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Reference Approach for the Portland Harbor Superfund Site

Dear Wally, Chip and Tara:

The LWG is transmitting this letter to inform EPA of our intent to collect reference area tissue samples as part of the Round 1 sampling effort. We regret that the LWG, EPA, and its partners could not reach consensus on a reference area sampling approach. However, it is the LWG's position that significant benefit can be derived from exploratory sample collection and analysis. For example, the recent EPA guidance on use of background data indicates that cleanup levels are generally not set at concentrations below natural or anthropogenic background levels under CERCLA (USEPA 2002) Therefore, information on reference area tissue concentrations is important, along with the results of the risk assessment, for making management decisions for the Portland Harbor Superfund Site.

Reference area samples will also provide essential information to help in understanding the difference between site-related versus river-wide issues. As you know, the LWG is currently conducting an extensive tissue sampling program within the ISA, which has been approved by

EPA, to address data gaps identified through the DQO process. Due to potential temporal (i.e., annual) differences in tissue concentrations that may exist, the LWG believes it is essential to couple this work with the Round 1 collection effort.

There are several reasons why reference area samples will be collected in conjunction with the Round 1 sample event in the ISA for the Portland Harbor Superfund Site.

- 1. Potential sources of chemicals exist upstream of ISA
- 2. Chemicals from upstream sources may contribute to risks in ISA
- 3. Information from the upstream reference area samples could be used in a weight-of-evidence approach to design Round 2 and help focus subsequent site evaluations
- 4. Upstream fish tissue concentrations from previous studies show potential risks to human health and must be considered during any ISA sample event.
- 5. During the FS, setting cleanup levels for the ISA lower than upstream reference area levels could lead to recontamination and would likely not result in risk reduction from the added cleanup actions.

The LWG has selected two reference area locations for sampling fish tissue during Round 1. The first reference area is at RM 21 This reference area was selected to better understand reference area tissue concentrations directly above the ISA yet below influences of sources unrelated to the ISA. The second reference area is in the Newberg Pool upstream of Willamette Falls. This reference area was selected to better understand reference area tissue concentrations related to sources above the Falls. Both reference areas exhibit similar habitat characteristics and similar fish species as are found in parts of the ISA, yet are outside the influence of COPC sources from the ISA and outside the home range of the selected fish species that utilize the ISA. The LWG will analyze both wholebody carp and smallmouth bass for the same suite of chemicals analyzed in the ISA wholebody carp and smallmouth bass. We will analyze the tissue using the same approved procedures and protocols as presented in the EPA approved Round 1a FSP, Round 1 SOPs and revised QAPP.

The results of the reference area tissue effort will be useful to the expedited RI/RA/FS process. Results of reference area sampling may be useful in designing future sampling events, as the reference area samples may assist in evaluating the contribution of potential exposure routes for fish. To complete the RI/RA/FS in a timely fashion, it is critical to obtain the information that reference area samples will provide as early in the process as possible. Results of reference area sampling effort will also be used in several management decisions during the RI/RA/FS and must be collected in a timely manner for consideration at each management decision point. We will ultimately need to understand reference contributions when making risk management decisions for cleanup and recontamination potential.

Again, we regret that consensus on reference area sampling could not be reached. However, the LWG intends to proceed, in good faith, with sampling that we think will provide useful information for identifying future data needs for the RI and FS. We invite EPA and/or its partners to observe the sampling and review the resulting data.

Please give either of us a call if you have any further questions or concerns.

H. Kney Handert

Trey Harbert Co-Chair

Larry Patterson Treasurer

cc: LWG Executive Committee

LWG Legal Committee

## Reference

USEPA, 2002. Role of Background in the CERCLA Cleanup Program. OSWER 9285.6-07P. May 1, 2002.